

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PLUMBERS' UNION LOCAL NO. 12 : Civil Action No. 1:08-cv-01958-JGK
PENSION FUND, Individually and On Behalf :
of All Others Similarly Situated, : CLASS ACTION
Plaintiff, :
vs. :
SWISS REINSURANCE COMPANY, et al., :
Defendants. :
----- x

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION OF PLUMBERS'
UNION LOCAL NO. 12 PENSION FUND FOR APPOINTMENT AS
LEAD PLAINTIFF AND FOR APPROVAL OF SELECTION OF LEAD COUNSEL**

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Presently pending before this Court is a securities class action lawsuit (the “Action”) brought on behalf of U.S. residents or citizens who purchased Swiss Reinsurance Company (“Swiss Re”) (SWX:RUKN) stock during the period between May 8, 2007 and November 19, 2007 (the “Class Period”). The Action alleges violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (“PSLRA”) (15 U.S.C. §78(u)-4, *et seq.*), and the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder (17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5).

Institutional Investor Plumbers’ Union Local No. 12 Pension Fund (“Plumbers’ Pension Fund”) hereby moves this Court for an Order to: (i) appoint Plumbers’ Pension Fund as Lead Plaintiff in the Action under §21D(a)(3)(B) of the Exchange Act; and (ii) approve Plumbers’ Pension Fund’s selection of the law firm of Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP (“Coughlin Stoia”) to serve as Lead Counsel.

This motion is made on the grounds that Plumbers’ Pension Fund is the most adequate plaintiff, as defined by the PSLRA. Plumbers’ Pension Fund is precisely the type of institutional investor that Congress sought to summon and empower when it enacted the PSLRA. *See Ferrari v. Impath, Inc.*, No. 03 Civ. 5667 (DAB), 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13898, at *10 (S.D.N.Y. July 15, 2004) (holding that the purpose behind the PSLRA is best achieved by encouraging institutional investors to serve as lead plaintiffs). Moreover, as an institutional investor, Plumbers’ Pension Fund is accustomed to acting as a fiduciary and its experience in legal and financial matters will substantially benefit the Class.

During the Class Period, Plumbers' Pension Fund incurred a substantial \$59,295.74 loss on its transactions in Swiss Re shares. *See Alba Decl., Ex. B.*¹ To the best of its knowledge, this is the greatest loss sustained by any moving class member or class member group who has brought suit or filed an application to serve as Lead Plaintiff in this Action. In addition, Plumbers' Pension Fund, for the purposes of this Motion, adequately satisfies the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in that its claims are typical of the claims of the putative class and that it will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Swiss Re is the world's largest reinsurer with 70 offices in more than 25 countries.

The complaint charges Swiss Re and certain of its officers and directors with violations of the Exchange Act. The complaint alleges that during the Class Period, defendants made false and misleading statements about the Company's financial condition. Specifically, defendants failed to disclose that Swiss Re's Credit Solutions unit had written two credit default swaps that exposed the Company to great financial risk. In a credit default swap, one party guarantees that a third party borrower will not default on a debt. In this case, Swiss Re guaranteed certain mortgage-backed securities which included some subprime and collateralized debt obligations. When the existence and nature of the credit default swaps was disclosed, Swiss Re's stock price dropped from CHF97.55 to CHF87.55 (Swiss Francs) the next day.

¹ References to the "Alba Decl., Ex. ____" are to the exhibits attached to the accompanying Declaration of Mario Alba, Jr. dated April 28, 2008, and submitted herewith.

III. ARGUMENT

A. Plumbers' Pension Fund Should Be Appointed Lead Plaintiff

1. The Procedure Required by the PSLRA

The PSLRA has established a procedure that governs the appointment of a lead plaintiff in “each private action arising under the [Exchange Act] that is brought as a plaintiff class action pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.” 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(1) and (a)(3)(B)(i).

First, the plaintiff who files the initial action must publish a notice to the class, within 20 days of filing the action, informing class members of their right to file a motion for appointment as lead plaintiff. 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(A)(i). Plaintiff caused the first notice regarding the pendency of this Action to be published on *Business Wire*, a national, business-oriented newswire service, on February 27, 2008. *See* Alba Decl., Ex. A. Within 60 days after publication of the notice, any person or group of persons who are members of the proposed class may apply to the Court to be appointed as lead plaintiff, whether or not they have previously filed a complaint in the action. 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(A) and (B).

Second, the PSLRA provides that, within 90 days after publication of the notice, the Court shall consider any motion made by a class member and shall appoint as lead plaintiff the member or members of the class who the Court determines to be most capable of adequately representing the interests of class members. *See* 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(B). In determining the “most adequate plaintiff,” the PSLRA provides that:

[T]he court shall adopt a presumption that the most adequate plaintiff in any private action arising under this Act is the person or group of persons that

(aa) has either filed the complaint or made a motion in response to a notice...

(bb) in the determination of the court, has the largest financial interest in the relief sought by the class; and

(cc) otherwise satisfies the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(B)(iii). *See generally Greebel v. FTP Software*, 939 F. Supp. 57, 64 (D. Mass. 1996).

B. Plumbers' Pension Fund Satisfies the "Lead Plaintiff" Requirements of the Exchange Act

1. Plumbers' Pension Fund Has Complied with the Exchange Act and Should Be Appointed Lead Plaintiff

The time period in which class members may move to be appointed lead plaintiff herein under 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(A) and (B) expires on April 28, 2008. Pursuant to the provisions of the PSLRA, and within the requisite time frame after publication of the required notice on February 27, 2008, Plumbers' Pension Fund timely moves this Court to be appointed Lead Plaintiff on behalf of all members of the class.

Plumbers' Pension Fund has duly signed and filed a certification stating that it is willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of the Class. *See* Alba Decl., Ex. C. In addition, Plumbers' Pension Fund has selected and retained competent counsel to represent itself and the Class. *See* Alba Decl., Ex. D. Accordingly, Plumbers' Pension Fund has satisfied the individual requirements of 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(B) and is entitled to have its application for appointment as Lead Plaintiff and approval of selection of Lead Counsel as set forth herein, considered and approved by the Court.

a. Plumbers' Pension Fund Is Precisely the Type of Lead Plaintiff Congress Envisioned When it Passed the PSLRA

The Congressional objective in enacting the lead plaintiff provisions was to encourage large, organized institutional investors to play a more prominent role in securities class actions. *See* H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 104-369, at 34 (1995), reprinted in 1995 U.S.C.C.A.N. 679, 733 ("The Conference Committee believes that increasing the role of institutional investors in class actions will ultimately

benefit shareholders and assist courts by improving the quality of representation in securities class actions.”).

Congress reasoned that increasing the role of institutional investors, which typically have a large financial stake in the outcome of the litigation, would be beneficial because institutional investors with a large financial stake are more apt to effectively manage complex securities litigation. Plumbers’ Pension Fund, as an institutional investor, is precisely the type of lead plaintiff Congress envisioned when it passed the PSLRA. *See Id.*

b. Plumbers’ Pension Fund Has the Requisite Financial Interest in the Relief Sought by the Class

During the Class Period, as evidenced by, among other things, the accompanying signed certification, *see* Alba Decl., Ex. C, Plumbers’ Pension Fund incurred a substantial \$59,295.74 loss on its transactions in Swiss Re shares. *See* Alba Decl., Ex. B. Plumbers’ Pension Fund thus has a significant financial interest in this case. Therefore, Plumbers’ Pension Fund satisfies all of the PSLRA’s prerequisites for appointment as Lead Plaintiff in this Action and should be appointed Lead Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(B).

c. Plumbers’ Pension Fund Otherwise Satisfies Rule 23

According to 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(B), in addition to possessing the largest financial interest in the outcome of the litigation, the lead plaintiff must also “otherwise satisf[y] the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.” Rule 23(a) provides that a party may serve as a class representative only if the following four requirements are satisfied: (1) the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (2) there are questions of law or fact common to the class; (3) the claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class; and (4) the representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.

Of the four prerequisites to class certification, only two – typicality and adequacy – directly address the personal characteristics of the class representative. Consequently, in deciding a motion to serve as lead plaintiff, the Court should limit its inquiry to the typicality and adequacy prongs of Rule 23(a), and defer examination of the remaining requirements until the lead plaintiff moves for class certification. *See Lax v. First Merchants Acceptance Corp.*, No. 97-C-2175, 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11866, at *20, (N.D. Ill. Aug. 11, 1997). Plumbers' Pension Fund satisfies both the typicality and adequacy requirements of Rule 23, thereby justifying its appointment as Lead Plaintiff.

Under Rule 23(a)(3), the claims or defenses of the representative parties must be typical of those of the class. Typicality exists where the plaintiffs' claims arise from the same series of events and are based on the same legal theories as the claims of all the class members. *See Rossini v. Ogilvy & Mather, Inc.*, 798 F.2d 590, 598 (2d Cir. 1986). Typicality does not require that there be no factual differences between the class representatives and the class members because it is the generalized nature of the claims asserted which determines whether the class representatives are typical. *See Sofran v. Labranche & Co.*, 220 F.R.D. 398, 402 (S.D.N.Y. 2004) ("The possibility of factual distinctions between the claims of the named plaintiffs and those of other class members does not destroy typicality, as similarity of legal theory may control even in the face of differences of fact"). The requirement that the proposed class representatives' claims be typical of the claims of the class does not mean, however, that the claims must be identical. *See Impath*, 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13898, at *18.

Plumbers' Pension Fund satisfies this requirement because, just like all other class members, it: (1) purchased Swiss Re shares during the Class Period; (2) was adversely affected by defendants' false and misleading statements; and (3) suffered damages as a result thereof. Thus, Plumbers'

Pension Fund's claims are typical of those of other class members since its claims and the claims of other class members arise out of the same course of events. Under Rule 23(a)(4) the representative parties must also "fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class." The PSLRA directs this Court to limit its inquiry regarding the adequacy of Plumbers' Pension Fund to represent the Class to the existence of any conflicts between the interest of Plumbers' Pension Fund and the members of the Class. The Court must evaluate adequacy of representation by considering: (i) whether the class representatives' claims conflict with those of the Class; and (ii) whether class counsel is qualified, experienced, and generally able to conduct the litigation. *See In re Global Crossing Sec. & ERISA Litig.*, No. 02 MD 1472 (GEL), 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 23946, at *53 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 23, 2004).

Here, Plumbers' Pension Fund is an adequate representative of the Class. As evidenced by the injuries suffered by Plumbers' Pension Fund and the Class, the interests of Plumbers' Pension Fund are clearly aligned with the members of the Class, and there is no evidence of any antagonism between Plumbers' Pension Fund's interests and those of the other members of the class. Further, Plumbers' Pension Fund has taken significant steps which demonstrate it will protect the interests of the Class: it has retained competent and experienced counsel to prosecute these claims. In addition, as shown below, Plumbers' Pension Fund's proposed Lead Counsel are highly qualified, experienced and able to conduct this complex litigation in a professional manner. Thus, Plumbers' Pension Fund *prima facie* satisfies the commonality, typicality and adequacy requirements of Rule 23 for the purposes of this motion.

C. The Court Should Approve Plumbers' Pension Fund's Choice of Counsel

Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(B)(v), the proposed lead plaintiff shall, subject to Court approval, select and retain counsel to represent the class it seeks to represent. In that regard, Plumbers' Pension Fund has selected the law firm of Coughlin Stoia as Lead Counsel, a firm which

has substantial experience in the prosecution of shareholder and securities class actions, including serving as lead counsel in *In re Enron Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. H-01-3624, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 39867 (S.D. Tex. Dec. 22, 2005), in which Coughlin Stoia has obtained recoveries to date which represent the largest recovery ever obtained in a shareholder class action. *See* Alba Decl., Ex. D. Specifically, the court in *Enron* stated:

The firm is comprised of probably the most prominent securities class action attorneys in the country. It is not surprising that Defendants have not argued that counsel is not adequate. Counsel's conduct in zealously and efficiently prosecuting this litigation with commitment of substantial resources to that goal evidences those qualities is evident throughout this suit.

In re Enron Corp. Sec. Derivative & "ERISA" Litig., H-01-3624, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 43146, at *77 (S.D. Tex. June 5, 2006).

Accordingly, the Court should approve Plumbers' Pension Fund's selection of counsel.

IV. CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, Plumbers' Pension Fund respectfully requests that the Court:

(i) appoint Plumbers' Pension Fund as Lead Plaintiff in the Action; (ii) approve its selection of Lead Counsel as set forth herein; and (iii) grant such other relief as the court may deem just and proper.

DATED: April 28, 2008

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
SAMUEL H. RUDMAN
DAVID A. ROSENFELD
MARIO ALBA, JR.

/s/ Mario Alba, Jr.

MARIO ALBA, JR.

58 South Service Road, Suite 200
Melville, NY 11747
Telephone: 631/367-7100
631/367-1173 (fax)

[Proposed] Lead Counsel for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Mario Alba Jr., hereby certify that on April 28, 2008, I caused a true and correct copy of the attached:

Notice Of Motion For Appointment As Lead Plaintiff and For Approval Of Selection Of Lead Counsel;

Memorandum In Support Of The Motion Of Plumbers' Union Local No. 12 Pension Fund For Appointment As Lead Plaintiff and For Approval Of Selection Of Lead Counsel; and

Declaration of Mario Alba, Jr. In Support Of The Motion Of Plumbers' Union Local No. 12 Pension Fund For Appointment As Lead Plaintiff and For Approval Of Selection Of Lead Counsel

to be served: (i) electronically on all counsel registered for electronic service for this case; and (ii) by first-class mail to all additional counsel on the attached service list.

/s/ Mario Alba, Jr.

Mario Alba, Jr.

SWISS RE

Service List - 4/24/2008 (08-0040)

Page 1 of 1

Counsel For Defendant(s)

Daniel J. Kramer

Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP

1285 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10019-6064

212/373-3000

212/757-3990(Fax)

Counsel For Plaintiff(s)

Samuel H. Rudman

David A. Rosenfeld

Mario Alba, Jr.

Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP

58 South Service Road, Suite 200

Melville, NY 11747

631/367-7100

631/367-1173(Fax)